

LAW OFFICES OF PAUL I. MARX

THE GATEWAY BUILDING ONE NORTH LEXINGTON AVENUE WHITE PLAINS, NEW-YORK 10601-

IMAGING CENTER JAN 2 9 2007

PAUL I. MARX*
*MEMBER NY 4 NJ BAR

(914) 949-4750 FACS(MILE 1914) 949-4141 ELIOT M. SCHUMAN OF COUNSEL

explore statute of limitations argument. 3 years from date of error.

January 23, 2007

Jared Anderson, Esq. Swiss Re 5200 Metcalf Overland Park, KS 66201

Re: Jayne Asher v. Patricia Hennessey et al

Westchester County Index Number: 07/1361

Your File No.: 616514

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Enclosed please find a courtesy copy of a Summons and Complaint which has been filed and sent out for service in the above captioned matter.

We remain interested in attempting to resolve this matter without a long drawn out litigation. Thus, I ask that you contact me if you are interested in a similar approach to this matter.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Paul I. Marx

PIM/eh Enclosure

White Plains, NY 10601

To the above named Defendants:

You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action, and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance on the Plaintiff's attorneys within twenty (20) days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service, where service is made by delivery upon you personally within the state, or, within 30 days after completion of service where service is made in any other manner. In case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Dated:

White Plains, New York January 22, 2007

Yours, etc.

Law Offices of Paul I. Marx

Paul I. Marx

Attorney for the Plaintiff One North Lexington Avenue White Plains, New York 10601

(914) 969-4760

PATRICIA HENNESSEY, ESQ. To: c/o COHEN HENNESSEY & BIENSTOCK, P.C. 11 West 42nd Street, 19th Floor New York, NY 10036

JAN 23 2031

TIMOTHY C. IDONI

COUNTY CLERK COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER

COHEN HENNESSEY & BIENSTOCK, P.C. 11 West 42nd Street, 19th Floor New York, NY 10036

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER		
JAYNE ASHER,	VERIFIED COMPLAINT	
Plaintiff,	Index No.:	1361/07
- against -	REC	JEIVED
PATRICIA HENNESSEY, ESQ. and COHEN HENNESSEY, BIENSTOCK, P.C.,		1 2 3 2007
Defendants.	COU	THY C. IDONI TY CLERK WESTCHESTER

Plaintiff, Jayne Asher, by her attorneys, The Law Offices of Paul I.

Marx, as and for her Verified Complaint against the defendants respectfully shows to the Court and alleges as follows:

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- That at all time hereinafter mentioned, Plaintiff JAYNE ASHER was and is a resident of the City, County and State of New York.
- At all times herein relevant, Defendant, PATRICIA HENNESSEY,
 ESQ. ("Hennessey") was and is an attorney duly licensed to practice Law in the
 State of New York.
- 3. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendant, HENNESSEY was and is a resident of the County of Westchester, State of New York.
- 4. That upon information and belief, Defendant, COHEN HENNESSEY & BIENSTOCK, P.C., ("CHB") was and is a professional legal corporation with its principal places of business located at 11 West 42nd Street, 19th Floor, New York, NY 10036 and 445 Hamilton Avenue, White Plains, NY 10601.

- 5. That Defendant HENNESSEY was and is a shareholder and/or officer of Defendant, CHB.
- 6. That Defendant HENNESSEY was and is an associate of Defendant CHB.
 - 7. That Defendant HENNESSEY was and is a partner in Defendant CHB.
- 8. That Defendant HENNESSEY was and is a member of Defendant CHB.
- 9. That prior to February 1, 2003 Plaintiff JAYNE ASHER retained Defendants HENNESSEY and CHB to represent her in the action entitled Jayne Asher v. Sanford Asher (New York County Index Number 350060/01) ("the divorce action").
- 10. That on and before February 1, 2003, Defendant CHB, held themselves out as attorneys knowledgeable, capable and competent to represent persons in a variety of matters and, in particular, Plaintiff herein with respect to the prosecution of the divorce action.
- 11. That on and or before, February 1, 2003 Defendant, HENNESSEY held herself out as an attorney knowledgeable, capable and competent to represent persons in a variety of matters and, in particular, Plaintiff herein with respect to the prosecution of the divorce action.
- 12. That the Defendants, HENNESSEY and CHB, jointly and severally, failed to properly, diligently and adequately represent Plaintiff's interests in connection with the divorce action.

- 13. That during the course of Defendants' representation of Plaintiff in the divorce action, a Stipulation of Settlement (the "Stipulation"), negotiated and approved by Defendants HENNESSEY and CHB was entered into by Jayne Asher and Sanford Asher on or about October 15, 2002.
- 14. That by the terms of the Stipulation, JAYNE ASHER was given the right to elect, upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation, to assume and/or continue ownership of certain life insurance policies (the "life insurance policies") on the life of Plaintiff's ex-husband Sanford Asher.
- 15. That pursuant to Article IX of the Stipulation, Plaintiff was given the right, at her option and expense, to assume an existing term life insurance policy on the life of her ex-husband issued by Berkshire Life Insurance Company with a death benefit of One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000.00) if she so elected in writing by February 1, 2003.
- 16. That pursuant to Article IX of the Stipulation, Plaintiff was given the right, at her option and expense, to retain ownership of an existing variable life insurance policy issued on the life of her ex-husband by New England Financial Life Insurance Company with a death benefit of One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000.00), if she notified her ex-husband of her intent to do so by February 1, 2003.
- 17. That Defendants, and each of them, failed to timely exercise Plaintiff's right of election to the life insurance policies.

- 18. That by reason of Defendants' failure to timely exercise the rights of election, Plaintiff was barred from doing so and lost the benefit of the life insurance policies.
- deviated from good and accepted legal practice in the handling of the prosecution of the divorce action by acting in dereliction of their legal and ethical obligations and responsibilities to Plaintiff herein and committing misconduct, including failing to timely exercise Plaintiff's rights of election under the Stipulation; in failing to notify Plaintiff's ex-husband's attorneys of her elections; in breaching the contractual retainer agreement between the parties; in negligently ignoring the matter; in failing to provide good, proper, honest and competent legal advice; in failing to provide qualified attorneys with knowledge of the facts to represent Plaintiff's interest; in failing to communicate with Plaintiff and advise that the election must/should be exercised on or before a date certain; in giving Plaintiff incorrect and fallacious advice regarding the rights of election under the Stipulation; in incorrectly advising as to the meaning and import of the terms of the Stipulation and in other ways, not yet known, acting negligently.
- 20. That but for the negligence and actions and/or inactions of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff herein would have timely and properly exercised her rights of election to the insurance policies under the Stipulation.

- 21. That by reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount that exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all other Courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.
- 22. The limited liability provisions of CPLR §1601 do not apply pursuant to one or more of the exceptions of CPLR §1602.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- 23. Plaintiff repeats and reiterates each and every allegation contained in paragraphs "1" through "22" of this Complaint as if each were set forth fully herein.
- 24. That following Defendants' failure to timely and properly exercise Plaintiff's rights of election pursuant to the Stipulation, Defendants embarked upon and continued legal proceedings designed to attempt to remedy their failure to exercise Plaintiff's rights of election and brought motions, appeals and other legal proceedings in furtherance of same.
- 25. That by decision and order of the Appellate Division of the State of New York, Second Department dated February 24, 2004, the Court determined fully and finally that Plaintiff was not entitled to ownership of the life insurance policies by reason of the Defendants' failure to timely and properly exercise Plaintiff's rights of election to the life insurance policies under the Stipulation.
- 27. That Defendants charged Plaintiff legal fees in connection with their failed and futile efforts to remedy their failure to timely and properly exercise Plaintiff's rights of election under the Stipulation.

- 28. That but for the negligence and acts and omissions of Defendants, Plaintiff would not have incurred the legal fees and expenses incurred by her in connection with the motions and appeals and/or other legal proceedings brought by Defendants in an effort to remedy their failure to properly and timely exercise the rights of election to the life insurance policies under the Stipulation.
- 29. That by reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount that exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all other Courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

- 30. Plaintiff repeats and reiterates each and every allegation contained in paragraphs "1" through "29" of this Complaint as if each were set forth fully herein.
- 31. That Plaintiff was compelled by Court Order, to pay the legal fees and expenses incurred by her ex-husband in defense of the motions, appeals and other legal proceedings, brought by Defendants in an effort to remedy their failure to properly and timely exercise the rights of election to the life insurance policies under the Stipulation.
- 32. That but for the negligence and acts and omissions of defendants, plaintiff would not have been compelled to pay the legal fees and expenses incurred by her ex-husband in defense of the motions, appeals and other legal proceedings brought by Defendants in an effort to remedy their failure to

properly and timely exercise the rights of election to the life insurance policies under the Stipulation.

33. That by reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount that exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all other Courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully demands judgment of the Defendants, HENNESSEY and CHB on each and every cause of action set forth herein in an amount that exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all other Courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction together with the costs, interest and disbursements of this action.

Dated:

White Plains, New York

January 22, 2007

Yours, etc.

Law Offices of Paul I. Marx

Paul I. Marx

Attorney for the Plaintiff One North Lexington Avenue White Plains, New York 10601

(914) 969-4760

TO: PATRICIA HENNESSEY, ESQ. c/o COHEN HENNESSEY & BIENSTOCK, P.C. 11 West 42nd Street, 19th Floor New York, NY 10036

COHEN HENNESSEY & BIENSTOCK, P.C. 11 West 42nd Street, 19th Floor New York, NY 10036

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEW YORK)

SS.:

COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER)

I, the undersigned, am an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, and say that:

I am the attorney of record, or of counsel with the attorney of record, for plaintiff. I have read the annexed Summons and Verified Complaint and know the contents thereof and the same are true to my knowledge, except those matters therein which are stated to be alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true. My belief, as to those matters therein not stated upon knowledge, is based upon the following:

Information, file and investigation conducted.

I make this affirmation instead of plaintiff because the undersigned maintains offices in the County other than where plaintiff resides.

I affirm that the foregoing statements are true under penalties of perjury.

Dated: White Plains, New York January 22, 2007

Paul I. Marx

	OURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK WESTCHESTER	
JAYNE ASH		
- aga	inst -	
	ENNESSEY, ESQ. and NNESSEY, BIENSTOCK, P.C.,	
	Defendants.	
SUMMONS & VERIFIED COMPLAINT		
Attorney for	LAW OFFICES OF PAUL I. MARX ATTORNEY AT LAW Plaintiff THE GATEWAY BUILDING ONE NORTH LEXINGTON AVENUE WHITE PLAINS, NEW YORK 10601 (914) 949-4760 FAX (914) 949-4141	
Pursuant to 22 NYCRR 130-1.1, the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of New York State, certifies that, upon information and belief and reasonable inquiry, the contentions contained in the annexed document are not frivolous.		
	22, 2007 Print Signer's Name Paul I. Marx	
Service of a copy	of the within Is hereby admitted.	
Dated:	Attorney(s) for	
PLEASE TAK	E NOTICE	
□ NOTICE OF ENTRY	that the within is a (certified) true copy of a entered in the office of the clerk of the within named Court on	
NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT	that an Order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the Hon. One of the judges of the within named Court, at on , at .M.	
Dated:	LAW OFFICES OF PAUL I. MARX Attorney for:	
Tor	One North Lexington Avenue White Plains, New York 10601	